

[Sp1/MAT/F-5/E]

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH**

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/4393 /2016  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,  
Free Press Journal Marg,  
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : **2 DEC 2016**

**C.A. NO. 116/2014 IN O.A. NO. 3/2013 WITH O.A. NO. 24,38,39,40 & 41/2013.  
(Sub :- Time Bound Promotion)**

1 Shri. Sunil R. Pimputkar & 28 Ors.,  
C/o. Shri. J.N. Kamble, Advocate for the Applicants.

...**APPLICANT/S.**

**VERSUS**

1 Dr. Pravin Shingare, The Director,  
Medical Education & Research,  
Arogya Bhavan, C.S.T., Mumbai-01.

2 Dr. Ajay Sahebrao Chandanwale,  
The Dean, B.J. Medical College,  
Sassoon General Hospital, Pune.

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the **29<sup>th</sup>** day of **November, 2016** has made the following order:-

APPEARANCE : Shri. J.N. Kamble, Advocate for the Applicants.  
Shri D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel for the Respondents with  
Ms. N.G. Gohad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **29.11.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

*Anayad*  
1/12/16

**Research Officer,  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,  
Mumbai.**

16

**THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO. 116 OF 2014  
IN  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 03 OF 2013  
WITH  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 24,38,39,40 & 41 OF 2014

**S.R. Pimpatkar & Ors.**

**....Applicants.**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**.....Respondents.**

Shri J.N. Kamble, Advocate for the Applicants.

Shri D.B. Khaire, Special Counsel for the Respondents with Ms. N.G. Gohad, Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 29<sup>th</sup> November, 2016.

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.B. Khaire Special Counsel for the Respondent with Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent.

2. Heard both sides. Perused the record, learned P.O. states as follows:-


- (a) 1<sup>st</sup> A.C.P. is granted to Applicant Nos. 3 to 8 and No. 16 by order passed on 23.06.2014. Said benefit is not given to nine persons. However it is not given to the applicants who have retired after 01.10.2006, due to the provisions contained in prevailant Government decision, as is evident from the order passed by Dean B.J. Medical College, Pune on 23.06.2016 copy where of is at page 23 of the paper book.



(b) Learned Advocate Mr. Kamble for applicant states as follows:-


- (i) The order passed in paragraph 8 of O.A. No. 03/2013 (with other O.As) is substantially complied, with slight delays.
- (ii) Applicant who are not granted 2<sup>nd</sup> A.C.P. will have to file fresh O.A.
- (iii) In so far as interest on delayed payment for arrears is concerned, concerned applicants shall take suitable steps in accordance with law.
- (iv) Present Contempt Application may be disposed with liberty to pursue remaining demands in accordance with law.

3. In so far as undecided issued are concerned applicant shall be free to pursue those in accordance with law. With these observation, present C.A. is disposed.

  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

nmn

TRUE COPY

  
Registrar/Research Officer  
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal  
Mumbai.